arXiv:1202.1169v2 [hep-ph] 19 Apr 2012

Prepared for submission to JHEP
UAB-FT-702

The scalar gluonium correlator: large-β_0 and beyond

Matthias Jamin

Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA),
IFAE, Theoretical Physics Group, UAB, E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

E-mail: jamin@ifae.es

Abstract: The investigation of the scalar gluonium correlator is interesting because it carries the quantum numbers of the vacuum and the relevant hadronic current is related to the anomalous trace of the QCD energy-momentum tensor in the chiral limit. After reviewing the purely perturbative corrections known up to next-next-to-leading order, the behaviour of the correlator is studied to all orders by means of the large-β_0 approximation. Similar to the QCD Adler function, the large-order behaviour is governed by the leading ultraviolet renormalon pole. The structure of infrared renormalon poles, being related to the operator product expansion are also discussed, as well as a low-energy theorem for the correlator that provides a relation to the renormalisation group invariant gluon condensate, and the vacuum matrix element of the trace of the QCD energy-momentum tensor.

Keywords: QCD, gluonia, large-order behaviour, operator product expansion

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Scalar gluonium correlator 2

3 Large-β_0 approximation 5

4 Low-energy theorem 8

5 Conclusions 9

Appendix A: Large-β_0 approximation for $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$ 10

1 Introduction

The investigation of two-point correlation functions of hadronic currents plays a very important role in phenomenological applications of QCD. The field gained much impetus after the seminal work of Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov [1, 2] in which mesonic correlation functions were studied. Gluonic correlation functions were examined in refs. [3, 4], but in subsequent years much less work went into the investigation of their phenomenology, presumably because the situation on experimental data for the spectra was and still is rather difficult. This is in particular so for the scalar gluonium sector, which carries the quantum numbers of the vacuum and where strong mixing with scalar meson states is expected. A selected set of QCD sum rule analyses includes the works [5–9] where also further references can be found. (See also the "Note on scalar mesons" in the Review of Particle Physics [10].)

From the theoretical perspective the investigation of the scalar gluonium correlation function has several interesting aspects. First of all, the respective current is given by the gluonic piece in the QCD Lagrangian. Next, the corresponding renormalisation group invariant (RGI) current constitutes the gluonic contribution to the anomalous trace of the QCD energy-momentum tensor, one of the sources of the breaking of conformal symmetry [11]. And finally, the expectation value of these currents with respect to the full QCD vacuum represents the so-called *gluon condensate*, one of the central parameters in the framework of QCD sum rules. The gluon condensate then also emerges in a low-energy theorem for the scalar gluonium correlator [4].

The definition of the gluon condensate is plagued with an inherent ambiguity, being related to *renormalon singularities* of the Borel transform of the correlation function in the complex Borel plane [12, 13]. The ambiguity arises because a prescription has to be chosen of how to treat the *infrared* (IR) renormalon singularities on the positive real axis, in order to define the Borel integral. On the other hand the IR renormalon singularities are connected to the power corrections in the operator product expansion (OPE) and their general structure can be analysed with the help of the renormalisation group [13–18]. Still, complete results for the Borel transform of two-point correlators are most often only available in simplified models, like the large-n_f or relatedly the large-β_0 approximation, where n_f is the number of fermion flavours and β_0 the leading coefficient of the QCD β-function. Nonetheless, valuable information on the structure of the correlators and their large-order behaviour can be gleaned from such approximations. In the following work, therefore, the above aspects shall be examined for the scalar gluonium correlation function.

To begin, in section 2 the general structure of the perturbative series for the scalar gluonium correlator will be discussed. To this end, two choices for the hadronic current will be compared. The first consists of the gluonic term in the QCD Lagrangian. However, as this current is not renormalisation group invariant, the correlator cannot directly be connected to an observable quantity. For this reason then a second, related correlator will be investigated, which is constructed from the RGI combination that appears in the trace of the QCD energy-momentum tensor. The spectral function (imaginary part) of this correlator is an observable and also another physical quantity can be constructed which bears similarities to the Adler function for the mesonic vector correlator. The analytically available information on the perturbative coefficients of these correlators will be reviewed.

In section 3, the large-β_0 approximation for the scalar gluonium correlator will be computed and discussed. In particular the structure of the ultraviolet and infrared renormalon poles will be investigated, which are related to the large-order behaviour of the perturbative expansion of the correlation function and the structure of higher-dimensional operator corrections in the OPE. Furthermore, based on RG arguments, the structure of the leading IR renormalon singularity will be derived beyond the large-β_0 approximation. Section 4 finally discusses a low-energy theorem for the scalar gluonium correlator [4] and its relation to the gluon condensate, before the work is concluded in section 5.

2 Scalar gluonium correlator

The most basic two-point correlation function that is relevant for the study of scalar gluonium can be defined as

$$\Pi_{G^2}(q^2) \;\equiv\; i\int \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{e}^{iqx}\, \langle\Omega|T\{J_G(x)J_G(0)\}|\Omega\rangle\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where the gluonic current is given by $J_G(x) \equiv G^a_{\mu\nu}(x)\, G^{\mu\nu\, a}(x)$, $G^a_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the QCD field-strength tensor and $|\Omega\rangle$ represents the full non-perturbative QCD vacuum.[1]

Analogous to the mesonic vector correlator (see e.g. ref. [18]), the purely perturbative part of $\Pi_{G^2}(q^2)$ has the following general structure:

$$\Pi^{\rm PT}_{G^2}(s) \;=\; -\,\frac{(N_c^2-1)}{4\pi^2}\, s^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_\mu^n \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} c_{n,k}\, L^k\,, \quad L \;\equiv\; \ln\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\,, \tag{2.2}$$

---

[1] Operator products at the same space-time point are assumed to be renormalised by (modified) minimal subtraction in dimensional regularisation.

with $a_\mu \equiv a(\mu^2) \equiv \alpha_s(\mu)/\pi$ and μ the renormalisation scale. $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$ itself is not a physical quantity. However, after multiplying an appropriate function in the coupling that is related to the QCD β-function and will be discussed in detail below, the spectral function is physical, as well as the third derivative of $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$ with respect to s, because for large s, $\Pi^{\rm PT}_{G^2}(s)$ scales as s^2 and three subtractions are required. Let us first concentrate on the spectral function $\rho_{G^2}(s)$, related to $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$,

$$\rho_{G^2}(s) \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{\pi}\,{\rm Im}\,\Pi_{G^2}(s+i0)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Up to second order in α_s the explicit expansion of the perturbative spectral function $\rho^{\rm PT}_{G^2}(s)$ is found to be

$$\rho^{\rm PT}_{G^2}(s) \;=\; \frac{(N_c^2-1)}{4\pi^2}\,s^2\Big[\,c_{0,1}+(c_{1,1}+2c_{1,2}\bar L)\,a_\mu+(c_{2,1}-\pi^2 c_{2,3}+2c_{2,2}\bar L+3c_{2,3}\bar L^2)\,a_\mu^2\,\Big]\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $s\geq 0$ and $\bar L \equiv \ln(s/\mu^2)$. Like for the Adler function, the perturbative coefficients $c_{n,0}$ are unphysical constants that have to drop out for every physical quantity, the $c_{n,1}$ can be chosen as the only independent coefficients, and, as will become evident from what follows, all other $c_{n,k}$ for $k>1$ can be determined from the renormalisation group equation (RGE). Comparing eq. (2.4) with the analytic computation of eq. (10) of ref. [19] (for an earlier next-to-leading order calculation see ref. [20]), and setting $N_c = 3$, the first three independent coefficients are found to be:

$$c_{0,1} = 1\,, \quad c_{1,1} = \tfrac{73}{4} - \tfrac{7}{6}\,n_f\,, \quad c_{2,1} = \tfrac{37631}{96} - \tfrac{495}{8}\,\zeta_3 - (\tfrac{7189}{144} - \tfrac{5}{4}\,\zeta_3)n_f + \tfrac{127}{108}\,n_f^2\,. \tag{2.5}$$

In order to deduce constraints from the RGE, we have to work with a renormalisation group invariant current. In the chiral limit, where the operator $J_G(x)$ does not mix with $m_q\,\bar q(x)\,q(x)$ or m_q^4, such a current can be chosen to be [21]

$$\hat J_G(x) \;\equiv\; \frac{\beta(a)}{\beta_1 a}\,J_G(x) \;=\; \frac{\beta(a)}{\beta_1 a}\,G^a_{\mu\nu}(x)\,G^{\mu\nu\,a}(x) \;\approx\; a\,G^a_{\mu\nu}(x)\,G^{\mu\nu\,a}(x)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Here, the QCD β-function is defined as

$$\beta(a) \;\equiv\; -\,\mu\,\frac{{\rm d}a}{{\rm d}\mu} \;=\; \beta_1\,a^2 + \beta_2\,a^3 + \ldots\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Explicitely, at $N_c = 3$, the first coefficient of the β-function reads $\beta_1 = 11/2 - n_f/3$. Let us remark that in the chiral limit $\hat J_G(x)$ is proportional to the trace of the QCD energy-momentum tensor $\theta^\mu_\mu(x)$ [21–23], with the relation being given by

$$\theta^\mu_\mu(x) \;=\; -\,\frac{\beta(a)}{4\,a}\,G^a_{\mu\nu}(x)\,G^{\mu\nu\,a}(x) \;=\; -\,\frac{\beta_1}{4}\,\hat J_G(x)\,. \tag{2.8}$$

In analogy to $\Pi_{G^2}(q^2)$ of eq. (2.1), we can define the two-point correlator for the current $\hat J_G(x)$, which expressed in terms of $\Pi_{G^2}(q^2)$ takes the form:

$$\widehat\Pi_{G^2}(q^2) \;=\; \left(\frac{\beta(a)}{\beta_1 a}\right)^2\Pi_{G^2}(q^2)\,. \tag{2.9}$$

The spectral function $\hat{\rho}_{G^2}(s) \equiv \mathrm{Im}\,\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s+i0)/\pi$ corresponding to this correlator now is a RGI "physical" quantity, which satisfies a homogeneous RGE. Working out the constraints from the RGE, up to next-next-to-leading the following relations for the coefficients $c_{n,k}$ are found:

$$c_{1,2} \,=\, -\,\tfrac{\beta_1}{2}\,c_{0,1}\,, \qquad c_{2,2} \,=\, -\,\beta_2\,c_{0,1} - \tfrac{3\beta_1}{4}\,c_{1,1}\,, \qquad c_{2,3} \,=\, \tfrac{\beta_1^2}{4}\,c_{0,1}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

From the logarithmic terms of eq. (10) of ref. [19] it can easily be verified that these relations are satisfied.

The results given above can also be compared to corresponding expressions of ref. [24]. Taking into account the fact that the definition of the gluonic current in [24] is $J_G^{\mathrm{SHO}}(x) = \pi\hat{J}_G(x)$, and setting the number of quark flavours $n_f = 3$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 \,&=\, -\,2\,a^2\left[\,1 + \tfrac{659}{36}\,a + \left(\tfrac{822569}{2592} - \tfrac{465}{8}\zeta_3\right)a^2\,\right]\,, \\ a_1 \,&=\, \,2\,a^3\left[\,\tfrac{9}{4} + \tfrac{2105}{32}\,a\,\right]\,, \qquad a_2 \,=\, -\,\tfrac{81}{8}\,a^4\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

with a_0, a_1 and a_2 having been defined in eq. (30) of ref. [24]. The above expressions are found in agreement to eq. (31) of [24], there given partially numerically.

As has been noted before, since $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)$ behaves proportional to s^2 for large s, it satisfies a dispersion relation with three subtraction constants,

$$\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s) \,=\, \widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(0) + s\,\widehat{\Pi}'_{G^2}(0) + \frac{s^2}{2}\,\widehat{\Pi}''_{G^2}(0) + s^3\int\frac{\hat{\rho}_{G^2}(s')}{(s')^3(s'-s-i0)}\,\mathrm{d}s'\,, \tag{2.12}$$

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to s. Therefore, besides the spectral function $\hat{\rho}_{G^2}(s)$, taking three derivatives of $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)$ another function $D_{G^2}(s)$ which is a physical quantity can be defined in analogy to the QCD Adler function:

$$D_{G^2}(s) \,\equiv\, -\,s\,\frac{\mathrm{d}^3\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)}{\mathrm{d}s^3}\,. \tag{2.13}$$

Employing eq. (2.2), the general structure of the perturbative expansion for $D_{G^2}(s)$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} D_{G^2}^{\mathrm{PT}}(s) \,&=\, \frac{(N_c^2-1)}{4\pi^2}\left(\frac{\beta(a_\mu)}{\beta_1 a_\mu}\right)^2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_\mu^n\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}k\,c_{n,k}\,\cdot \\ &\qquad\cdot\Big[\,2L^{k-1} + 3(k-1)L^{k-2} + (k-1)(k-2)L^{k-3}\,\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Resumming the logarithms in $D_{G^2}^{\mathrm{PT}}(s)$ with the scale choice $\mu^2 = -s$, the general expansion as well as the perturbative expression up to second order are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} D_{G^2}^{\mathrm{PT}}(s) \,&=\, \frac{(N_c^2-1)}{2\pi^2}\left(\frac{\beta(a(-s))}{\beta_1 a(-s)}\right)^2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\big[\,c_{n,1} + 3c_{n,2} + 3c_{n,3}\,\big]\,a(-s)^n \\ &=\, \frac{4}{\pi^2}\,a^2(-s)\left[\,1 + \frac{161}{11}\,a(-s) + \left(\frac{1269361}{5808} - \frac{495}{8}\zeta_3\right)a^2(-s) + \ldots\,\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where in the second line the explicit expression for $n_f = 0$ is provided. One notices that the perturbative higher-order α_s corrections are large. To obtain a reasonable behaviour of this perturbative series, one should have $\alpha_s(-s)$ as small as roughly 0.12 which requires scales as large as about the Z-boson mass scale M_Z, and thus precludes a low-energy sum rule analysis. Nonetheless, one may proceed to investigate the general properties of the gluonium correlation function.

Since aspects of the perturbative series related to the renormalon ambiguity of the gluon condensate shall be studied, it is also of interest to consider the large-β_0 approximation (or large-β_1 approximation in my notation, though I shall keep the historical terminology). In this approximation the Adler-like function of eq. (2.13) turns out to be:

$$D_{G^2}^{\mathrm{large}-\beta_0}(s) \,=\, \frac{4}{\pi^2}\, a^2(-s) \left[\, 1 + 2\,\beta_1\, a(-s) + \tfrac{83}{24}\,\beta_1^2\, a^2(-s) + \ldots \right] . \tag{2.16}$$

Numerically, the large-β_0 approximation is reasonably close to the full result, 11 versus 14.6 at order α_s and 104.6 versus 144.2 at order α_s^2, so that it appears to make sense to work out the complete large-β_0 approximation to $D_{G^2}^{\mathrm{PT}}(s)$. The behaviour of the series in large-β_0 is slightly better than in full QCD as the perturbative coefficients are somewhat smaller. The large-β_0 approximation to all perturbative orders shall be developed in the next section.

3 Large-β_0 approximation

Like in the case of mesonic correlation functions, the large-β_0 approximation can be calculated by first computing fermion-chain diagrams that correspond to the limit of a large number of fermion flavours n_f and then applying the so-called *naive non-abelianisation*, that is replacing the n_f dependence by the leading coefficient of the QCD β-function, which then also incorporates a gauge invariant set of gluon-loop diagrams [25, 26]. In practice, one may either explicitly calculate the required fermion-chain diagrams for Π_{G^2} or proceed directly to a computation of the Borel transform of Π_{G^2} or D_{G^2}. As below the structure of the Borel transform $B[D_{G^2}(s)](u)$ will be discussed in relation to the OPE, first of all this Borel transform shall be presented. A discussion of the large-β_0 result for $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$ is relegated to appendix A.

Technically, the computation of the large-β_0 approximation for the gluonium correlator proceeds through inserting chains of fermion loops in both gluon propagators of the lowest order gluon loop diagram. Employing the Dyson-resummed form of the gluon propagator, together with the convolution theorem for the Borel transform (see e.g. eq. (2.8) of ref. [27]), one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} B[D_{G^2}(s)](u) \,=\, & \frac{3(N_c^2-1)}{\pi^3\beta_1} \left(\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\,\mathrm{e}^C\right)^{-u} \frac{\Gamma(1+u)}{\Gamma(4-u)} \,\cdot \\ & \cdot \int\limits_0^u \mathrm{d}\bar u \left[2-u+\bar u(u-\bar u)\right] \frac{\Gamma(2-\bar u)\Gamma(2-u+\bar u)}{\Gamma(1+\bar u)\Gamma(1+u-\bar u)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

a_0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6
1	2	2.30556	1.76444	1.14045	0.55870	0.30433

a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}	a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}
0.09359	0.07845	− 0.00719	0.03581	− 0.02458	0.02891	− 0.02726

a_{14}	a_{15}	a_{16}	a_{17}	a_{18}	a_{19}	a_{20}
0.02789	− 0.02764	0.02774	− 0.02770	0.02772	− 0.02771	0.02771

Table 1. Numerical expansion coefficients a_n of eq. (3.3) up to the 21st order.

where C is a scheme-dependent constant which in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme takes the value $-5/3$. Assuming a positive coupling α_s, the relation between the Borel transform $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ and the Adler-like function D_{G^2} is given by

$$D_{G^2}(a) \;=\; \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}\int\limits_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2u}{\beta_1 a}} B[D_{G^2}](u)\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Without further specification, the Borel-integral on the right-hand side is only well defined if $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ has no poles or cuts on the positive real axis. Similarly to the case of the QCD Adler function, as will be discussed in more detail further below, this is not the case for the expression of eq. (3.1). Still, the perturbative expansion, corresponding to an expansion of $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ in powers of u, is well defined, and takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} B[D_{G^2}(s)](u) &\equiv \frac{(N_c^2-1)}{\pi^3\beta_1}\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n\, u^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{(N_c^2-1)}{\pi^3\beta_1}\Big[\, u + 2\,u^2 + \tfrac{83}{36}\,u^3 + \big(\tfrac{1403}{648} - \tfrac{\zeta_3}{3}\big)u^4 + \ldots \Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where in the second line the first few orders have been given explicitly. Higher-order expansion coefficients a_n are collected in table 1 in numerical form up to the 21st order. Together with the formula

$$\int\limits_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u\, u^n\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2u}{\beta_1 a}} \;=\; n!\left(\frac{\beta_1 a}{2}\right)^{n+1}, \tag{3.4}$$

leading to the perturbative expansion

$$D_{G^2}^{\text{large}-\beta_0}(s) \;=\; \frac{(N_c^2-1)}{2\pi^2}\, a^2(-s)\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(n+1)!}{2^n}\, a_n\, [\beta_1 a(-s)]^n\,, \tag{3.5}$$

it is a straightforward matter to recover eq. (2.16) for $D_{G^2}(a)$ in the large-β_0 limit. Eq. (3.5) also clearly displays the factorially divergent asymptotic behaviour of the perturbative series.

Next, the singularity structure in the Borel plane, also known as renormalons, shall be investigated. Via the factor $\Gamma(1+u)$, $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ has ultraviolet (UV) renormalons for all negative integer u. The UV renormalon singularity at $u = -1$ is also the closest to the origin and thus dominates the perturbative series at large orders. In the vicinity of $u = -1$, the behaviour of the Borel transform reads

$$B[D_{G^2}](u) \overset{u \to -1}{=} -\frac{(N_c^2-1)}{\pi^3 \beta_1} \frac{\frac{493}{3360}\,\mathrm{e}^C}{(1+u)}\,, \tag{3.6}$$

from which the perturbative coefficients at large orders can be deduced. In the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme, dominance of the leading UV renormalon singularity sets in at about the 12th order, and inspecting table 1, it is found to be in accord with the behaviour of the coefficients a_n at sufficiently large n.

The singularities at positive u, the infrared (IR) renormalons, are connected to the power corrections in the OPE. For physical correlation functions they are generally expected to start at $u = 2$ which corresponds to the dimension-4 gluon condensate. In the case at hand, however, the correlator $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$ itself is of dimension four, and therefore the gluon condensate only appears as a subtraction constant which vanishes for the physical correlator D_{G^2}. This is also seen from the fact that the Borel transform $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ stays finite at $u = 2$, and will be further discussed in connection to a low-energy theorem for Π_{G^2} in the next section. Hence, potential IR renormalon singularities only start at integer $u \geq 3$.

Even though from RG arguments generally power-like IR renormalon singularities are expected [13–18], in large-β_0 for $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ they turn out to be only logarithmic. To understand the origin of this behaviour, first the generic case shall be investigated. The derivation is completely analogous to the one for example presented in section 5 of ref. [18], the only difference being that now the correlator has an additional multiplicative factor of α_s^δ, with $\delta = 2$ for D_{G^2}. The structure of an IR renormalon pole corresponding to an operator O_d of dimension d is then found to be

$$B[D_{G^2}^{\mathrm{IR}}](u) = \frac{d_p^{\mathrm{IR}}}{(p-u)^{1+\tilde{\gamma}}} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(p-u) \right], \tag{3.7}$$

where d_p^{IR} are normalisations of the renormalon poles that cannot be determined from the renormalisation group, and

$$p = \frac{d}{2}\,, \qquad \tilde{\gamma} = 2p\,\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1^2} - \frac{\gamma_{O_d}^{(1)}}{\beta_1} - \delta\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Here, $\gamma_{O_d}^{(1)}$ is the leading order anomalous dimension of the operator O_d.

At $u = 3$, the only contributing operator corresponds to the dimension-6 gluonic condensate $\langle \Omega | g f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\ \lambda}^{\nu,b} G^{\lambda\mu,c} | \Omega \rangle$, whose leading anomalous dimension was calculated to be $\gamma_{gG^3}^{(1)} = (7N_c + 2n_f)/6$ in [21, 28]. Hence, in the absence of quarks, that is $n_f = 0$, the exponent in the denominator of eq. (3.7) turns out to be $1 + \tilde{\gamma} = 108/121$ (independent of N_c). In the large-β_0 limit, on the other hand, the β_2-term vanishes and $\gamma_{gG^3}^{(1)}/\beta_1 = -1$.

Thus, the exponent $1+\tilde{\gamma}$ is found identically zero. This is reflected in the fact that close to $u=3$ the Borel transform (3.1) behaves as

$$B[D_{G^2}](u) \overset{u\to 3}{=} -\frac{(N_c^2-1)}{\pi^3\beta_1}\,3\,\mathrm{e}^{-3C}\,\ln\left[1-\tfrac{u}{3}\right]\,, \tag{3.9}$$

only displaying a logarithmic singularity. Such a logarithmic behaviour of the leading IR renormalon was also observed in ref. [17]. A further consequence of the softening of the leading IR renormalon singularity is the fact that at no order it dominates the perturbative coefficients. This is in contrast to the QCD Adler function for which in large-β_0 the leading IR singularity at $u=2$ gives the most important contribution at intermediate orders before the large-order behaviour governed by the UV singularity at $u=-1$ sets in [13].[2]

At positive integer $p\geq 4$, the Borel transform $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ again behaves logarithmically like $\ln[1-u/p]$, but this time, due to the factor $1/\Gamma(4-u)$ in eq. (3.1), these logarithms are further suppressed by an additional factor $(p-u)$. Thus, at positive integer $u\geq 4$, the Borel transform does not posses further singularities, but just the appearance of new cuts which reflect the presence of higher-dimensional contributions in the OPE. In order to properly define the Borel integral of eq. (3.2), hence a prescription should be chosen which circumvents the singularity at $u=3$ and the cuts at all integer $u\geq 3$. This prescription then also gives a meaning to the QCD condensate parameters.

4 Low-energy theorem

Even though $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)$, and therefore also $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(0)$, are not physical quantities, the question arises if a closed expression can be given for the subtraction constant $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(0)$ of the dispersion relation (2.12), similar to the case of the pseudoscalar correlation function $\Psi_5(s)$ to be discussed further below. Such relations are termed low-energy theorems (LET) and they are interesting as they provide additional constraints on the theory in question. In fact, already in the seminal work [4], a LET for $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)$ was derived which reads:

$$\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(0) \,=\, \lim_{s\to 0}\,\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s) \,=\, \frac{16}{\beta_1}\,\langle\Omega|\hat{J}_G|\Omega\rangle\,. \tag{4.1}$$

The LET can also be expressed in terms of the correlator $\Pi_\theta(s)$ for the trace of the energy-momentum tensor $\theta_\mu^\mu(x)$ of eq. (2.8), in which case it assumes the particularly simple form

$$\Pi_\theta(0) \,=\, \lim_{s\to 0}\,\Pi_\theta(s) \,=\, -\,4\,\langle\Omega|\theta_\mu^\mu|\Omega\rangle\,. \tag{4.2}$$

The gluon condensate which appears on the rhs of (4.1) and (4.2) has a renormalon ambiguity. This is for example seen in the large-β_0 approximation for the Borel transform of $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)$ which, in contrast to $B[D_{G^2}](u)$, has a UV renormalon pole at $u=2$. (See also appendix A.) This pole provides an ambiguity of order Λ_{QCD}^4 which cancels against a corresponding ambiguity in the definition of the gluon condensate. Nevertheless, this does not imply that the gluon condensate is an observable, since the subtraction constant is not directly related to the spectrum of $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)$.

---

[2] The latter behaviour is also expected for the Adler function in full QCD [18].

The situation is analogous to the case of the pseudoscalar correlator $\Psi_5(s)$. Here the subtraction constant $\Psi_5(0)$ is related to the quark condensate $\langle\Omega|\bar{q}q|\Omega\rangle$, and the low-energy theorem can also be derived for a hadronic representation of the currents which yields the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner (GMOR) relation [29]. The derivation of the GMOR relation can be generalised in the framework of chiral perturbation theory (χPT) [30], and the fact that the subtraction constant $\Psi_5(0)$ is not a physical observable then manifests itself through the appearance of the unphysical χPT coupling H_2 at higher orders in the χPT expansion [31], reflecting the dependence on the short-distance renormalisation.

It might be perhaps interesting to study a GMOR-like relation also for the scalar gluonium correlator $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(s)$. The framework for such an analysis is available from the work of Donoghue and Leutwyler [23], who derived chiral expansions for correlation functions of the energy-momentum tensor. As the spectrum of the scalar sector is not very well known, and a strong mixing between gluonic and mesonic states is present, the analysis is however expected to be more involved and will be left for the future. Still, as for example the recent dispersive analysis [32] of the scalar $I = 0$ sector demonstrates, the situation is not completely hopeless. Additionally, the LET may open the route to a lattice determination of the gluon condensate.

5 Conclusions

The investigation of the scalar gluonium correlation function has several interesting theoretical aspects. The relevant interpolating current represents the gluon term in the QCD Lagrangian and its renormalisation group invariant counterpart is proportional to the gluonic piece in the QCD energy-momentum tensor. Furthermore, a low-energy theorem for the correlator provides a relation to the gluon condensate, an important parameter in the framework of QCD sum rules.

The general structure of the perturbative expansion for the scalar gluonium correlator was discussed in section 2, and explicit analytical results are available up to next-next-to-leading order. Furthermore, the physical correlation function $D_{G^2}(s)$ was defined, which is the analog of the QCD Adler function in the case of the vector current correlator. It turns out that the perturbative corrections for $D_{G^2}(s)$ are rather large, for which reason a phenomenological analysis of this correlator would appear questionable, leaving aside the additional complication of the sparse knowledge on the physical spectrum.

Still, from the theoretical perspective a general analysis of the behaviour of the perturbative expansion, also at large orders is interesting, because on the one hand it sheds light on the asymptotic nature of the perturbative series, and on the other hand the singularity structure of the Borel transform of $D_{G^2}(s)$ in the positive Borel plane is related to higher-dimensional operator corrections in the operator product expansion.

The all-order perturbative behaviour of current correlators can be studied in the large-n_f, or relatedly, the large-β_0 approximation. To this end in section 3 the Borel transform $B[D_{G^2}](u)$ was calculated, from which it is a simple matter to derive the large-β_0 approximation of the correlator $D_{G^2}(s)$. Like in the case of the QCD Adler function, the large-order behaviour is governed by the leading ultraviolet renormalon singularity at $u = -1$, and in the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme dominance of this contribution takes over at about the 12th perturbative order.

Contrary to the Adler function, $D_{G^2}(s)$ does not possess an infrared renormalon pole at $u = 2$, because the gluon condensate only appears in the subtraction constant $\widehat{\Pi}_{G^2}(0)$, which due to the derivatives vanishes for the physical correlator $D_{G^2}(s)$. This statement should also hold true in full QCD. Furthermore, in the large-β_0 approximation the leading infrared renormalon singularity at $u = 3$ is only logarithmic, and it is observed that at no order this renormalon pole provides the dominant contribution to a certain perturbative coefficient. The divergent structure is, however, different in full QCD, for which the power-like renormalon singularity at $u = 3$ was explicitly derived from renormalisation group considerations.

An interesting limiting case are the low energy theorems of eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), since they are solely expressed in terms of the renormalisation group invariant gluon condensate. This does, however, not imply that the vacuum expectation value of the (even RGI) gluonic operator is a physical quantity. Like in the case of the pseudoscalar correlation function, the subtraction constant is not directly related to the physical spectrum and is expected to depend on the short-distance renormalisation. Still, the low-energy theorems may open additional routes to extract a phenomenological value for the gluon condensate.

These considerations bear some relevance for the question of the QCD contribution to the vacuum energy, as such a contribution is given by the vacuum expectation value of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. From the discussion above it appears as if at least in pure QCD this contribution is unphysical, as it depends on the renormalisation prescription. An immediate interesting question arises: what happens if QCD is coupled to gravity? As the expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor between particle states is physical, and a gravitational field can change particle number, then also its vacuum expectation value should become physical. The investigation of this question is beyond the scope of the present article, but should be pursued in the future.

Acknowledgments

The author should like to thank Renata Jora for collaboration at an early stage of this work. Interesting discussions with Martin Beneke, Rafel Escribano and Antonio Pineda are also gratefully acknowledged. This work has been supported in parts by the Spanish Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme CPAN (CSD2007-00042) and by CICYT-FEDER FPA2008-01430 as well as FPA2011-25948.

Appendix A: Large-β_0 approximation for $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$

In the following, the large-β_0 approximation for the correlator $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$ of eq. (2.2) will be provided and discussed. The expression which arises from the explicit computation of the double bubble-chain diagrams in a general space-time dimension $D = 4 - 2\varepsilon$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{G^2}^{\rm large-\beta_0}(s) \;=\; & \frac{(N_c^2-1)}{2\pi^2}\, s^2\,(3-2\varepsilon) \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{-s}\right)^{\varepsilon} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\,\frac{\beta_1 a_\mu}{2\,\hat\varepsilon}\right)^{m+n} \cdot \\ & \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{m}{k}\binom{n}{l} \left[-\,6\,B(2-\varepsilon,2-\varepsilon)\,\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{-s}\right)^{\varepsilon}\,\right]^{k+l} \cdot \\ & \cdot \Big[\, 2 - (3+k+l)\varepsilon + (k+1)(l+1)\varepsilon^2 \,\Big]\, G_{kl}(\varepsilon)\,, \qquad \text{(A.1)}\end{aligned}$$

where $1/\hat\varepsilon \equiv 1/\varepsilon - \gamma_E + \ln(4\pi)$, $B(x,y)$ is Euler's beta function, and the function $G_{kl}(\varepsilon)$ is found to be:

$$G_{kl}(\varepsilon) \;=\; \frac{\Gamma[2-(k+1)\varepsilon]\,\Gamma[2-(l+1)\varepsilon]}{\Gamma[1+k\,\varepsilon]\,\Gamma[1+l\,\varepsilon]\,\Gamma[4-(k+l+2)\varepsilon]}\,\Gamma[-2+(k+l+1)\varepsilon]\,. \qquad \text{(A.2)}$$

The independent coefficients $c_{n,1}^{l\beta_0}$ in the large-β_0 approximation can then be obtained by working out the terms linear in the logarithm $L = \ln(-s/\mu^2)$ from eq. (A.1).

In order to be able to compare to the large-β_0 approximation for the function $D_{G^2}(s)$ of eq. (3.5), one also requires the coefficients $c_{n,2}^{l\beta_0}$ and $c_{n,3}^{l\beta_0}$, that is the terms quadratic and cubic in L. These can either be calculated from a direct expansion of (A.1), or through the following relations, valid in the large-β_0 approximation, which are derivable from the RGE:

$$c_{n,2}^{l\beta_0} \;=\; -\,\frac{(n+1)}{4}\,\beta_1\, c_{n-1,1}^{l\beta_0}\,, \qquad \text{(A.3)}$$

$$c_{n,3}^{l\beta_0} \;=\; \frac{1}{12}\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]\left(2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1\right)\beta_1^2\, c_{n-2,1}^{l\beta_0}\,, \qquad \text{(A.4)}$$

where $[r]$ denotes the integer part of r. Employing all results, one can verify that the relation

$$\frac{(n+1)!}{2^n}\,\beta_1^n\, a_n \;=\; c_{n,1}^{l\beta_0} + 3\,c_{n,2}^{l\beta_0} + 3\,c_{n,3}^{l\beta_0} \qquad \text{(A.5)}$$

is satisfied, which provides a good check of the computation.

A final remark concerns the Borel transform of $\Pi_{G^2}(s)$. Through a factor $\Gamma(u-2+\varepsilon)$, at $D=4$ it contains UV renormalon singularities for all integer $u \le 2$. The ambiguity inflicted by the rightmost singularity at $u=2$ corresponds to the corresponding one of the gluon condensate in the subtraction constant $\Pi_{G^2}(0)$. The UV renormalon for the gluon condensate at $u=2$ has also been obtained in ref. [33]. Considering in addition the momentum dependence, and using the relation

$$-\;s\,\frac{{\rm d}^3}{{\rm d}s^3}\,s^{2-u-\varepsilon}\,\Gamma(u-2+\varepsilon) \;=\; s^{-u-\varepsilon}\,\Gamma(1+u+\varepsilon)\,, \qquad \text{(A.6)}$$

it becomes clear that at $D=4$ the Borel transform of the physical correlator $D_{G^2}(s)$ only has UV renormalon singularities for $u \le -1$, as discussed in section 3.

References

[1] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *QCD and resonance physics: Sum rules*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B147** (1979) 385.

[2] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *QCD and Resonance Physics: Applications*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B147** (1979) 448.

[3] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *In a search for scalar gluonium*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B165** (1980) 67.

[4] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *Are all hadrons alike?*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B191** (1981) 301.

[5] C. A. Dominguez and N. Paver, *Local duality constraints on scalar gluonium*, *Z. Phys.* **C31** (1986) 591.

[6] E. Bagan and T. G. Steele, *Mass of the scalar glueball: higher-loop effects in the QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Lett.* **B243** (1990) 413.

[7] S. Narison, *Masses, decays and mixings of gluonia in QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B509** (1998) 312, [`hep-ph/9612457`].

[8] D. Harnett and T. G. Steele, *A Gaussian sum rules analysis of scalar glueballs*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A695** (2001) 205, [`hep-ph/0011044`].

[9] D. Harnett, R. T. Kleiv, K. Moats, and T. G. Steele, *Near-maximal mixing of scalar gluonium and quark mesons: A gaussian sum-rule analysis*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A850** (2011) 110, [`arXiv:0804.2195`].

[10] K. Nakamura *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *The Review of Particle Physics*, *J. Phys.* **G37** (2010) 075021.

[11] J. C. Collins, A. Duncan, and S. D. Joglekar, *Trace and dilatation anomalies in gauge theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D16** (1977) 438.

[12] A. H. Mueller, *On the structure of infrared renormalons in physical processes at high-energies*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B250** (1985) 327.

[13] M. Beneke, *Renormalons*, *Phys. Rept.* **317** (1999) 1–142, [`hep-ph/9807443`].

[14] M. Beneke, *More on ambiguities in the pole mass*, *Phys. Lett.* **B344** (1995) 341, [`hep-ph/9408380`].

[15] A. G. Grozin and M. Neubert, *Higher-order estimates of the chromomagnetic moment of a heavy quark*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B508** (1997) 311, [`hep-ph/9707318`].

[16] A. Pineda, *The Static potential: Lattice versus perturbation theory in a renormalon based approach*, *J. Phys. G* **G29** (2003) 371, [`hep-ph/0208031`].

[17] F. Campanario, A. G. Grozin, and T. Mannel, *Asymptotics of the perturbative series for f_{B^*}/f_B*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B663** (2003) 280, [`hep-ph/0303052`].

[18] M. Beneke and M. Jamin, *α_s and the τ hadronic width: fixed-order, contour-improved and higher-order perturbation theory*, *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 044, [`arXiv:0806.3156`].

[19] K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl, and M. Steinhauser, *Hadronic Higgs decay to order α_s^4*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 353–356, [`hep-ph/9705240`].

[20] A. L. Kataev, N. V. Krasnikov, and A. A. Pivovarov, *Two loop calculations for the propagators of gluonic currents*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B198** (1982) 508, [hep-ph/9612326]. Erratum-ibid. **B490** (1997) 505.

[21] S. Narison and R. Tarrach, *Higher dimensional renormalization group invariant vacuum condensates in Quantum Chromodynamics*, *Phys. Lett.* **B125** (1983) 217.

[22] V. P. Spiridonov and K. G. Chetyrkin, *Nonleading mass corrections and renormalization of the operators* $m\bar{\psi}\psi$ *and* $G^2_{\mu\nu}$, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **47** (1988) 522–527.

[23] J. F. Donoghue and H. Leutwyler, *Energy and momentum in chiral theories*, *Z. Phys.* **C52** (1991) 343.

[24] T. G. Steele, D. Harnett, and G. Orlandini, *Gaussian sum rule analysis of scalar gluonium and quark mesons*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **688** (2004) 128–141, [hep-ph/0308074].

[25] D. J. Broadhurst and A. G. Grozin, *Matching QCD and HQET heavy - light currents at two loops and beyond*, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 4082, [hep-ph/9410240].

[26] M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, *Naive non-abelianization and resummation of fermion bubble chains*, *Phys. Lett.* **B348** (1995) 513, [hep-ph/9411229].

[27] M. Beneke and V. A. Smirnov, *Ultraviolet renormalons in Abelian gauge theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B472** (1996) 529–590, [hep-ph/9510437].

[28] A. Yu. Morozov, *Matrix of mixing of scalar and vector mesons of dimension* $D \leq 8$ *in QCD*, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **40** (1984) 505.

[29] M. Gell-Mann, R. J. Oakes, and B. Renner, *Behavior of current divergences under SU(3)×SU(3)*, *Phys. Rev.* **175** (1968) 2195.

[30] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Chiral Perturbation Theory: expansions in the mass of the strange quark*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B250** (1985) 465.

[31] M. Jamin, *Flavor symmetry breaking of the quark condensate and chiral corrections to the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation*, *Phys. Lett.* **B538** (2002) 71, [hep-ph/0201174].

[32] B. Moussallam, *Couplings of light* $I = 0$ *scalar mesons to simple operators in the complex plane*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71** (2011) 1814, [arXiv:1110.6074].

[33] A. G. Grozin and C. Sturm, *Correlator of heavy-quark currents at small* q^2 *in the large-*β_0 *limit*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C40** (2005) 157, [hep-ph/0412040].